1		O
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11		
12	MIGUEL COTA,	Case No. LA CV 15-1528 AG (JCG)
13	Petitioner,	ORDER ACCEPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF UNITED
14	V.	STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
15	JEFF MACOMBER,	
16	Respondent.	
17)
18	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition, the Magistrate	
19	Judge's Report and Recommendation, and the remaining record. No objections to the	
20	Report and Recommendation have been filed.	
21		
22	//	
23		
24	//	
25		
26	//	
27		
28	//	

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED THAT:

- 1. The Report and Recommendation is approved and accepted;
- 2. Judgment be entered dismissing this action with prejudice; and
- 3. The Clerk serve copies of this Order on the parties.

Additionally, for the reasons stated in the Report and Recommendation, the Court finds that Petitioner has not shown that "jurists of reason would find it debatable whether": (1) "the petition states a valid claim of the denial of a constitutional right"; and (2) "the district court was correct in its procedural ruling." *See Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000). Thus, the Court declines to issue a certificate of appealability.

DATED: October 31, 2016

HON. ANDRUW J. GUILFORD UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Presented by:

1 ||

Hon. Jay C. Gandhi

United States Magistrate Judge

South.